ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300 [SWH_FRL 2274—3]

Amendment to National Oil and Hazardous Substance Contingency Plan; The National Priorities List AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is proposing to amend the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Contingency Plan ("NCP"). which was promulgated on July 16, 1982, (47 FR 31180), pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response. Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") and Executive Order 12316. The proposed amendment supplements the NCP with the National Priorities List ("NPL"), which will become Appendix B of the NCP. CERCLA requires that the NCP include a list of national priorities among the known releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances. pollutants, and contaminants throughout the United States, and the list be revised at least annually. The NPL identifies priority releases, based on the assessments of State governments and EPA, for Fund-financed remedial action and enforcement under CERCLA. DATES: Comments must be submitted on

or before February 28, 1983. ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailedto Russel H. Wver. Director, Hazardous Site Control Division. Office of **Emergency and Remedial Response** (WH-548-E), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. The public docket for the NCP will contain Hazard Ranking System score sheets for all sites on the NPL as well as a "Documentation Record" for each site. listing the sources of information used to compute the scores. The docket is located in Room S-398. Environmental Protection Agency. 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. and is available for viewing from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Requests for copies of these documents should be directed to Headquarters, although the same documents will be available for viewing in the EPA Regional Offices. In addition, the sources of data used to compute the scores are retained in the Regional Offices and may be obtained upon request. Addresses for the Regional Office dockets are: John Hackler, Region I (No library facility available), Waste Response

and Compliance Branch, Superfund Program Office, Room 1903, Boston, MA 02205, 617/223-5709

John Frisco, Region II (No library facility available), Hazardous Waste Site Branch, Superfund Program Office, 26 Federal Plaza, Room 402, New York, NY 11278, 212/264-1573

Diane McCreary, Region III, U.S. EPA Library, Curtis Building, 6th & Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19106, 215/ 597-0580

Carolyn Mitchell. Region IV. U.S. EPA Library. 345 Countland Street. NE. Atlanta. GA 30365. 404/257-4716 Lou Tilly. Region V. U.S. EPA Library. 230 South Dearborn Street. Chicago. IL 60604. 512/253-2022

Martha Thompson, Region VI, U.S. EPA Library, First International Building, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, TX 75270, 214/729-7341

Connie McKenzie. Region VII. U.S. EPA-Library. 324 East 11th Street. Kansas-City. MO 64106. 816/374-3497 Delores Eddy. Region VIII. U.S. EPA-Library, 1860 Lincoln Street. Denver. CO 80295. 303/327-2560

Jean Circiello, Region IX, U.S. EPA Library, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

Julie Sears. Region X. U.S. EPA Library.
1200 6th Avenue. Seattle, WA 98101

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT
Stephen M. Caldwell. Hazardous Site
Control Division. Office of Emergency
and Remedial Response (WH-548-E),
Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M
Street SW. Washington, D.C. 20460,
Phone (800) 424-9346 (or 382-3000 in the
Washington, D.C., metropolitan area).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Table of Contents:

I Introduction
II Contents of the NPL
III Development Process
IV Exclusions
V Current Status of Sites
VI Implementation
VII Deletion of Sites
VIII Changes from the Interim Lists
IX Request for Comments

X Promuigation and Revision

Flexibility Analyses

XI Regulatory Impact and Regulatory

I. Introduction

Pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657 ("CERCLA" or "the Act"), and Executive Order 12316 (46 FR 42237, Aug. 20, 1981), the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "the Agency") promulgated the revised National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 CFR Part 300, on July 16, 1982 (47 FR 31180). Those amendments to the NCP implement the new

responsibilities and powers created by CERCLA to respond to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants.

Section 105(8)(A) of CERCLA requires that the NCP include criteria for determining priorities among releases or threatened releases throughout the United States for the purpose of taking remedial action and, to the extent practicable taking into account the potential urgency of such action, for the purpose of taking removal action. Removal action involves cleanup or other actions that are taken in response to emergency conditions or on a shortterm or temporary basis (CERCLA section 101(23)). Remedial action tends to be long-term and involves response actions which are consistent with a permanent remedy for a release (CERCLA section 101(24)). Criteria for determining priorites are included in the Hazard Ranking System ("HRS"), which comprises Appendix A of the NCP (47 FR 31219. July 16, 1982).

Section 105(8)(B) requires that these criteria be used to prepare a list of national priorities among the known releases or threatened releases throughout the United States, and that to the extent practicable at least 400 sites be designated individually. EPA may include a release on the NPL if CERCLA authorizes Federal response to the release. Under Section 104(a) of CERCLA, this response authority is quite broad, extending to releases or threatened releases not only of designated hazardous substances, but of any "pollutant or contaminant." CERCLA requires that this National Priorities List ("NPL") be included as part of the NCP. Today, the Agency is proposing to amend the NCP by adding the NPL as Appendix B. The discussion below may refer to "releases or threatened releases" simply as "releases" or "sites" in referring to the NPL.

The primary purpose of the NPL is stated in the legislative history of CERCLA (Report of the Committee on Environment and Public Works. Senate Report No. 96-848. 96th Cong., 2d. Sess. 60 (1980)):

The priority lists serve primarily informational purposes, identifying for the States and the public those facilities and sites or other releases which appear to warrant remedial actions. Inclusion of a facility or site on the list does not in itself reflect a judgment of the activities of its owner or operator, it does not require those persons to undertake any action, nor does it assign liability to any person. Subsequent government action in the form of remedial actions or enforcement actions will be necessary in order to do so,



The entries on the proposed NPL are candidates for response action by the Agency under CERCLA, which can include remedial response, removal action, and enforcement. EPA also encourages voluntary cleanup by responsible parties. The information collected to develop HRS scores to choose sites for the NPL is not sufficient in itself to determine the appropriate remedy for a particular site. After a site is included on the NPL more detailed studies will generally be necessary. Decisions on the type and extent of action to be taken at these sites will be made in accordance with the criteria contained in Subpart F of the NCP. EPA may conclude that no action is feasible for some sites on the NPL because of the need to efficiently use the limited resources of the Fund. EPA may also-conclude that no action is needed because further investigation reveals that the site does not actually present a problem.

II. Contents of the NPL

As noted above. CERCLA requires that the NPL include, if practicable, at least 400 sites. EPA has determined that sites with a HRS score of 28.50 or higher will be included on the proposed NPL resulting in a proposed NPL containing 418 individual entries. Each entry on the NPL contains the name of the facility, the State in which it is located, and the corresponding EPA Region. For purposes of information, each entry on the NPL is accompanied by a notation on the current status of response and enforcement activities at the site.

The entries on the proposed NPL are in groups of 50 sites. Within each group, the releases are presented in order of their HRS scores, except where EPA modified the order to reflect top priorities established by States. Section 105 (8) (B) of CERCLA requires that, to the extent practicable, the NPL include within the one hundred highest priorities at least one facility designated by each State as representing the greatest danger to public health, welfare, or the environment among known facilities in the State. Any site designated by a State as its top priority is therefore included. within the one hundred highest priority sites. The States are not required to rely exclusively on the HRS in designating their top priority sites, and certain of the sites designated by States as their top priority were not among the one hundred highest sites according to HRS score. These lower scoring State priority sites are listed at the bottom of the group of one hundred highest priority.

sites. All top priority sites designated by States are indicated by asterisks.

III. Development Process

CERCLA requires each State to establish priorities for remedial action among known releases and potential releases in that State, based on the criteria developed pursuant to section 105 (8) (A), and to submit these priorities for consideration by EPA. EPA has worked with the States over the past year to identify candidate sites: investigate the sites through monitoring and sampling of groundwater, surface water, air, and soil; and apply the HRS criteria to the candidate sites.

After the sites were scored, the EPA Regional Offices conducted a quality control program to ensure that the sites were scored consistently and that scores were based upon adequate information. In some cases, the EPA Regional Offices added to the lists submitted by the States, taking into account State comments when available. The EPA Regional Offices then submitted the lists to EPA Headquarters.

After this Regional review, EPA conducted further quality assurance audits on a sample of the sites submitted for the NPL. Each site included in the sample was scored under the supervision of EPA Headquarters by a consultant trained in application of the HRS. The object of these audits was to ensure accuracy and consistency among the various EPA and State offices participating in the scoring. Based upon these results, several of the Regions reviewed their initial results and adjusted scores where necessary.

Sites were scored for inclusion in the NPL on the basis of the hazards that ... existed before any response actions were initiated. Public agencies might have been discouraged from taking early response if such actions could lower the HRS score and prevent a site from being included on the NPL. In addition, where response actions have already been initiated by private parties or another agency, listing such sites will enable EPA to evaluate the need for a more complete response. Inclusion on the NPL therefore does not reflect a judgment on any response action completed or underway. Some releases on the proposed NPL are currently being cleaned up by responsible parties or by the States and EPA.

Response actions already taken were considered in scoring the categories in the HRS involving direct human contact and fire and explosion. These categories are used only to evaluate the need for removal action in response to emergency conditions, and are not used

to determine whether a site should be included on the NPL.

Section 104 (d) (4) of CERCLA authorizes the Federal government to treat two or more non-contiguous facilities as one for purposes of response, if such facilities are reasonably related on the basis of geography or on the basis of their potential threat to public health. welfare, or the environment. For purposes of the NPL, however, EPA has decided that where possible such sites should be scored and listed individually because the HRS scores more accurately reflect the hazards associated with a site if the site is scored individually. Listing facilities individually does not preclude EPA from consolidating response efforts at these sites or others where it is cost-effective to do so.

IV. Exclusions

CERCLA restricts EPA's authority to respond to certain categories of releases, and expressly excludes some substances from the definition of release. In addition, as a matter of policy, EPA may choose not to respond to certain types of releases because other Federal agencies have adequate authority to respond. This section discusses the inclusion of such releases on the NPL.

Releases of Radioactive Materials: Section 101(22) of CERCLA excludes several types of releases of radioactive materials from the statutory definition of "release." These releases are therefore not eligible for CERCLA response actions or inclusion on the NPL. The exclusions apply to: (1) Releases of source, by-product or special nuclear material from a nuclear incident, if these releases are subject to financial protection requirements under Section .170 of the Atomic Energy Act, and (2) any release of source, by-product or special nuclear material from any. processing site designated under the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978. In addition, other Federal or State authority may be adequate to remedy the threat to public health or the environment from other releases of radioactive materials. EPA therefore solicits comments on whether these releases should should be included on the NPL

Releases from Federal Facilities:
CERCLA section 111(e)(3) prohibits use of the Fund for remedial actions at Federally owned facilities. EPA will not list and does not intend to respond to any sites where the release come solely from the Federal facility, regardless of whether contamination remains on-site or has migrated off-site. The

responsibility for clelanup of these sites rests with the responsible Federal agency, pursuant to Executive Order 12316 (46 FR 42237, Aug. 20, 1981).

EPA may be authorized to respond where the source of off-site contamination is unclear or not verified. or where it is not exclusively the responsibility of the Federal Government. In these situations, the offsite contaminated area associated with this type or release is eligible for inclusion on the NPL. Sites that are not currently owned by the Federal Government are also eligible for the NPL even if they were previously owned by the Federal Government. Finally, non-Federally owned sites where the Federal Government may have contributed to a release are also eligible for inclusion.

RCRA-Related Sites: Both CERCLA and RCRA (the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act) contain authorities applicable to hazardous waste facilities. These authorities overelap for certain sites. Accordingly, where a site is an active RCRA facility authorized by permit or interim status, it will not be included on the NPL, but will instead be addressed under RCRA. The NPL may include sites that are inactive units within the boundaries of a RCRA facility if the units themselves are not authorized by permit or interim status.

Releases of Mining Wastes: CERCLA clearly authorizes Federal response to releases of mining wastes. Accordingly, mining waste releases were included on the Interim Priority List and the Expanded Eligibility List, and are now proposed for inclusion on the NPL However, a number of persons have expressed the view that such releases are more appropriately addressed under other statutory authority. EPA therefore solicits comments on its policy of including mining waste sites on the NPL

V. Current Status of Sites

For informational purposess, the proposed sites are accompanied by notations concerning the status of response and enforcement actions based on the most current facts available. It should be noted, however, that a site's status will in most cases change periodically, and the notations given here may become outdated. The releases will be included in the following categories: Voluntary or Negotiated Response: Federal and State Response; Federal or State Enforcement; and Actions to be Determined. Each category is explained below.

Voluntary or Negotiated Response. Release are included in this category if response actions are currently being taken by potentially responsible parties

or private parties. This category includes response actions that are sanctioned under consent agreements. consent orders, or consent decrees to which the Federal Government is a party. Voluntary or negotiated cleanup may include actions taken pursuant to agreements reached after enforcement action had commenced. Currently, this category does not include sites undergoing response actions if the actions are not governed by such an arrangement with the Federal Government. The information currently available to EPA does not adequately reflect all private party cleanups. The Agency intends to identify ongoing corrective actions not defined by an agreement with the Federal Government in the final NPL, and solicits information from the public concerning these corrective actions.

This category does not include actions mandated under Federal and State regulatory programs to update operational pollution control systems or waste disposal operations (e.g., upgrading surface impoundments operated pursuant to an NPDES permit). This category of response may include remedial investigations, feasibility studies, and other preliminary work, as

well as actual cleanup.

Federal and State Response. The Federal and State response category includes sites where EPA or State agencies have commenced or completed removal or remedial actions under CERCLA. If the State is primarily responsible for managing the response action, the site is included in this category when EPA has obligated funds for response. If EPA is managing the response action, the release is included when the State has signed a contract to meet its responsibilities and EPA has obligated funds for response. For removal actions, response has begun when EPA has obligated funds.

Federal or State Enforcement. This category includes sites where the United States Government or the State has filed a civil or criminal complaint or issued an administrative order. It also includes sites where a Federal or State court has mandated some form of non-consensual remedial action following a judicial proceeding. A number of sites on the NPL are the subject of enforcement investigation or have been formally referred to the Department of Justice for enforcement action. EPA policy precludes premature release of information concerning possible enforcement actions, and accordingly these sites have not been included in this category, even though preliminary enforcement activities may in fact be underway.

Actions To Be Determined. The category of actions to be determined includes all sites not otherwise listed. A wide range of activities may be in progress for sites in this category. Remedial projects may be under consideration, although funds have not been formally obligated. Enforcement investigations may be underway. Referrals may have been made to the Department of Justice, prior to formal commencement of enforcement action. Investigations may be underway or needed to determine the source of a release in areas adjacent to or near a Federal facility. Responsible parties may be undertaking cleanup operations that are unknown to the Federal or State government, or corrective action may not be occurring yet.

VI. Implementation

Sites on the proposed NPL are high priority candidates for Fund-financed remedial action, enforcement action. and private-party cleanup. The NPL itself does not determine priorities for removal action, although EPA may take removal actions against any site. whether listed or not, that meets the criteria of sections 300.65-.67 of the NCP. EPA will begin considering various response and enforcement actions for the sites on the proposed NPL published today, prior to final promulgation of the NPL This approach is necessary to address potentially dangerous sites during the period before the final NPL is promulgated. Use of the proposed NPL will enable EPA to consider response action on the basis of the most current information available. This continues the policy articulated in the preamble to the proposed NCP (47 FR 10977, March 12, 1982).

Absence from the NPL does not preclude enforcement actions under CERCLA or other authorities, because enforcement action may be appropriate in some situations for sites not included on the NPL. The HRS was designed to meet specific statutory requirements for the propose of identifying priorities for response action, and was not designed 🕏 to account for every type of public health or environmental effect that might merit enforcement action.

It remains Agency policy to pursue enforcement actions as an alternative or complement to Fund-financed response activities. This will help assure that the limited resources of the Fund are used as efficiently as possible. (See "Guidelines for Using the Imminent Hazard. Enforcement, and Emergency Response Authorities of Superfund and Other Statutes." 47 FR 20664. (May 13. 1982). Consistent with this policy,

wherever possible EPA has provided potentially responsible parties with notice and an opportunity to confer with the Agency before the Agency commences Fund-financed response action, including remedial investigations and feasibility studies to help determine the appropriate remedy. CERCLA does not however, mandate such notice to potentially responsible parties, nor require notice as a condition precedent to full cost recovery.

In many situations, it has been difficult to conduct productive discussions with potentially responsible parties in the absence of preliminary ... studies indicating what type of response action is appropriate. The Agency therefore believes that negotiations may be more fruitful where such studies have been completed, or at least commenced. Accordingly, potentially responsible parties may be notified after the remedial investigations and feasibility studies have begun or are completed. (See the NCP, 40 CFR 300.68, and the accompanying preamble, 47 FR 31180, July 18, 1982, for a fuller discussion of remedial investigations and feasibility studies.)

Funding of response actions for sites on the NPL will not necessarily take place in order of the sites' ranking on the NPL. Sites will receive the highest priority for response funding if the State has provided cost-sharing and the other assurances necessary under CERCLA section 104(c)(3), and it appears that ... enforcement actions will not quickly lead to private party cleanup. Priorities among these sites will be based on impacts on public health and the environment, as measured by the HRS scores and other available information, and on a case-by-case evaluation of economic, engineering, and environmental considerations.

VII. Deletion of Sites

Sites may be deleted from the NPL where one of the following criteria has been met:

- (1) EPA in consultation with the State has determined that responsible parties have completed cleanup so that no Fund-financed response actions will be required.
- (2) All appropriate Fund-financed cleanup action under CERCLA has been completed, and EPA has determined that no further cleanup by responsible parties is appropriate.
- (3) EPA, in considering the nature and severity of the problems, the potential costs of cleanup, and available funds, has determined that no remedial actions should be undertaken at the site.

EPA will delete sites from the NPL by publishing notice in the Federal Register

at the time of the next periodic update, naming the site and providing the reasons for its deletion. The process of updating the NPL is discussed more fully in Part X of this notice.

VIII. Changes from the Interim Lists

On October 23, 1981, EPA announced the selection of 115 sites for the Interim Priorities List (IPL) as candidates for response action under CERCLA. The sites were selected by applying the version of the HRS referenced in § 300.65 of the proposed NCP (47 FR 10991, March 12, 1982) and incorporating the States' designations of their top priorities. On July 23, 1982, EPA announced the selection of 45 additional sites (the "Expanded Eligibility List" or EEL), under the same criteria used to establish the IPL EPA treated all sites on these lists as candidates for response or enforcement actions under CERCLA. The IPL and the EEL were informal lists used for internal administrative purposes in choosing initial response efforts, and are not part of the NCP.

In compiling the NPL EPA rescored each site on the IPL and EEL to determine whether it should be included on the NPL Scores for the IPL and EEL sites have changed because additional data are available and the HRS has been modified. However, most sites on the IPL and EEL are included on the proposed NPL and are indicated by the symbol #. The exceptions are discussed below.

Additional Information Received. EPA has determined that incorrect information was used to calculate the HRS score for Allen Transformer of Arkansas, which was included on the IPL. More accurate information became available and EPA recalculated the score using the promulgated version of the HRS. The resulting scores do not warrant placing Allen Transformer on the proposed NPL.

Ineligible for Inclusion. The Fort Lincoln site was designated by the District of Columbia as its top priority. EPA determined that the source of the release is a Federal facility. Therefore, EPA will not include Fort Lincoln on the NPL.

Criteria for Deletion. The criteria for deletion discussed in Part VII have already been met at some sites on the IPL. These sites and the reasons for deletion are:

Responsible parties have completed cleanup: Walcotte Chemical (Mississippi);

All appropriate Fund-financed cleanup has been completed: Butler Tunnel (Pennsylvania): Chemical Metals, Inc. (Maryland); Chemical

Minerals Industries. Inc. (Ohio): Luminous Processors (Georgia).

Noncontiguous Facilities. When EPA developed the IPL several States requested that certain noncontiguous facilities be grouped together to be considered as single facilities. As discussed in Part III. these sites are now being listed singly wherever possible. Therefore, certain areas described as single sites on the IPL will be listed as two or more sites on the proposed National Priorities List, as set forth below:

IPL.	MPL
Floride—Biecayne Aquiler	NW 58th Street Landfill, Varsos Spall, Marra Orum.
Washington— Commencement Bay.	Commencement Bay-South- Tacome Chemiel. Commencement Bay-Neep- share Tideflats.
Delaware Sand and Gravel Llangoism Army Creek Land- fills.	

IX. Request for Comments

EPA requests comments providing information on the sites listed on the proposed NPL. Information on the factors used to score the sites would be particularly useful in determining whether site scores are accurate. Documents explaining how the sites on the NPL were scored are available for inspection in the public docket at EPA. (See ADDRESSES, in this notice.) EPA will also continue collecting data independently to support development of the NPL.

EPA will review and consider comments received on the proposed NPL EPA also invites comments and solicits information concerning sites that are not currently included on the proposed NPL that may be appropriate for inclusion in a later update of the NPL EPA is not soliciting comments on the HRS, which was promulgated as part of the final NCP.

Commenters are requested to bear in mind the purposes of the NPL described in the Introduction to this preamble. The NPL indicates the releases that are likely to pose the greatest danger to the public, based on preliminary investigation. Inclusion on the NPL is a point of departure for further investigation. It does not establish that a particular response is appropriate, nor does it constitute a judgment concerning the responsibilities of owners or operators. The HRS used to score sites is assigned to consider only the minimum quantity of data commonly available that will yield a meaningful estimate of the level of hazard posed by each site. (See the preamble explaining

the HRS. 47 FR 31187-88, July 18, 1982). In developing the NPL EPA cannot consider additional data not encompassed by the factors in the HRS. EPA will consider such information in determining the response action, if any, that is appropriate for a particular site.

X. Promulgation and Revision

Once the comments and the results of additional investigations have been considered, the NPL will be promulgated. Scores used to support promulgation of the NPL will be based on the best information available at the time, including public comment and State and EPA investigatory data.

Following promulgation, the NPL will be revised on a quarterly basis. New sites may be added on the basis of HRS scores, or deleted on the basis of the criteria outlined in Part VII of this notice. EPA will inform the States of the closing dates for each revision of the NPL

Congressional statements made during consideration of CERCLA indicate that once the NPL is established, revisions can be made in a routine manner without the necessity of full notice and comment rulemaking. In discussing the process for revising the

> The second secon and the second of the second o

الله المعاولة المنظمة التي المواجعة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة المعاولة ال المراجعة المعاولة ال

Server of the server

ما معدد المدا

NPL Senator Randolph stated:

"Accordingly, although this list must be published as part of the National Contingency Plan, it is not intended that the entire plan be republished each time the priority list is revised. Public nouce of the revised list is sufficient. [126 Cong. Rec. S 14895 (daily ed. Nov. 24,

EPA intends to revise the NPL by publication in the Federal Register. The notice will name the sites and provide reasons for their inclusion or deletion. The Agency will consider any public comments concerning revision of the NPL, and make appropriate changes in a future revision if warranted.

XI. Regulatory Impact and Regulatory Flexibility Analyses and the street was a

EPA prepared a Regulatory Impact Analysis pursuant to Executive Order 12291 (46 FR 13193, Feb. 19, 1981) and a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) for the revised NCP at the time that it was promulgated. Those analyses considered the impacts of a National Priorities List consequently, no further analyses are needed for this amendment to the NCP. The analyses of

the NCP are available for inspection at Room S-398, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW..... Washington, D.C. 20460.

This action was reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the requirements of Executive Order 12291.

Signed: December 20, 1982.

Anne M. Gorsuch Administrator.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Air pollution control. Chemicals, Hazardous materials, Intergovernmental relations, Natural resources, Oil pollution. Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Waste treatment and disposal. Water pollution control. Water supply. أأنأ المحاصرة والمحاربة

PART 300-[AMENDED]

It is proposed to smend 40 CFR Part 300 by adding a new Appendix B to the end to read as follows:

Appendix B-National Priorities List As Provided for in Section 105(8)(B) of CERCLA

BILLING CODE 6560-60-05

...

			Group 1				
BPA 1	legion						
	Stat	e City/County	Site Mane	Response	Stat	us t	
05	MH P	RIDLEY	PHC4				_
		EN CASTLE COUNTY	TYBOUTS CORNERS .		R	E	_
		BRIDE BODO	BODTH IACOOMA		Ä.		
10	MA N	OBURN	INDUSTRI-PLEX#	•			
02	NJ P	ITTMAF	LIPARI LANDFILLO	•	R	8	
02	NY M	ELISVILLE	INDOSTRY-PLEES LIPARI LANDFILLS SINCLAIS REFIRERS PRICE LANDFILLS * POLLUTION AGATEMENT SERVICESS * LABOUNTY SITE HELEN KRAMER LANDFILLS				D
0.2	HJ P	Leasantvi lle	PRICE LANDFILLS .	•	R		
02	MA O	SWEGO	POLLUTION ABATEMENT SERVICESS .			8	
07	IA C	RABLES CITY	LABOUNTY SITE	•			
0.2	NJ M	ANTDA	HELEN KRAMER LANDFILLS				D
		EN CASTLE	APRY CREEKS				D
0.5	NJ O	LO BRIDGE TOWNSBIP	CPS/MADISON INDUSTRIES			ŧ	
01	MA A	SHLAPO	HYANTA CHEMICALS			E	
0.5	NJ G	LOUCESTER TOWNSHIP	GENS LANDFILL!			2	
01	RI C	OVENTRY	PICILLO COVENTRES .				
05	MI S	WARTZ CREEK	BERLIN & PARROS		R	2	
07	RS C	HEROKEE COUNTY	TAR CREEK, CHER. CO.				0
01	MA B	ACCRETO	TAR CREEK, CHER. CO. BAIRD & MCGDIRE LONE PIME LANDFILLS			ĸ	
0.5	NJ F	RECHOLD	LONE PINE LANDFILLS		R	8	
01	HR 9	OHERSHORTH	FOMERSHORTH LANDFILL HCADOOS				D
0)	PA H	CADO0	MCADOD# *				D
61	Ma t	DDT M/I	KES - EPPING!	ı	R	E	
96	AR J	ACKSONVILLE	VERTAC, INC.	•			
08	HT 8	ILVER BOW/DEER LODGE			_		D
		ROSBY	PPENCH, LTD. \$		•		
		TICA	LIQUID DISPOSAL INC.	`	R		
		ASHUA	SYLVESTER, WASHUA!		R	E	
		A MARQUE	MOTCO!		R	_	
			ARCANON IRON & METAL		_	8	
06	TX C	ROSBY	SIKES DISPOSAL PITSO		R		
04	AL L	IMESTONE & MORGAN	TRIANA, TENNESSEE RIVERS STRINGFELLOWS		_		
07	CA G	LEN AVON NEIGHTS	STRINGFELLOW!				
	HE G		MCRIN COMPANY				
		DUSTON	CRYSTAL CREMICALS		1		•
		RIDGEPORT	BRIDGEPORT MENT, & OIL!	. •	R		•
	IN G				R		
		HITEMOOD	WHITEHOOD CREEKS . CH	v	•	_	
	MA A		W R GRACE			E	_
			WELLS GEN		_	_	D
			BURNT PLT BOGS	٠.	₩,		_
04	FL F	LANT CITY	SCHUTLRILL METALS	•			D
03	PR R	EW BRIGHTON/ARDEN	MEN BRIGHTONS		_	_	0
		T. 10015	DEILLY TARE A		R	-	
		YSTER BAY ACESONVILLE	DICTORNULLE OF TANDETT'A			5	_
		N# COND# WC 430UA! PPR	DETILLY TAPS - OLD BETHPAGE LANDFILLS PICKETTVILLE RD LANDFILLS ANACONDA - ANACONDA OSROPHES	14			0
		HACONDA BOUE CLEE	ACRORUS * ANACUNUS	₹			
		ROVE CITY RAINERD/BAXTER	OSBORNES . BURLINGTON MORTHERNS				0
		AIRFIELD	CALDWELL TRUCKING				D
		TTAMA COUNTY	TAR CREEKS				-
•••					-	•.	

Is V . Voluntery or Regulieted Response, R . Federal and State Response,
8 . Federal and State Enforcement, D . Actions to be Determined.
= IFL/EEL. * = States Designated Ton Priority Street

EPA S	legion		•				
	State	City/County	Site Heme	Respo		Statu	• t
05 IN	BEYHOU		SEYHOURE .			-	
02 MJ	BRICE 1	TOWNSHIP	BRICK TOWNSHIP LANDFILL		-	Ř	
05 MI	CADILL	AC	WORTHERNAIRE PLATINGS			_	D
10 WA	VANCOU	VER	PRONTIER BARD CRACME				•
04 PL	DAVIE		DAVIE LANDFILLS	•			D
04 FL	MIAHE		GOLD COAST OILS				D
OP AE	TUSCON		TUSCOM INT'L AIRPORTS	· ·			D
	BRANT		WIDE BEACH DEVELOPMENT TRON MOUNTAIN MINES				D
	REDDING	2	' IRON MOUNTAIN MINES			•	D
02 MJ	CAPLST	ADT .	SCIENTIFIC CHEMICAL PROCESSING D'IMPERIO PROPERTYS				D
		ON TOWNSHIP	D'IMPERIO PROPERTY!	_	R		
	OVEDVE	į.	OARDALES				D
	GALLOW		ALPHA CHEMECALS				D
	CREENU	P	A & P MATERIALS!		2	8	
O3 PA	DOUGLA	SVILLE		•			D
02 WJ	BILLSBO		ERYSOWATY PARMS				D
0.3 MM	DI. PAG		KOPPER'S COKES			_	D
	PLYMOU	ra i	, PLYMOUTE BARBOR/CORDAGE			E	
		SAIFTE	DUNKER BILL COM. BAY. S. TACOMA CHANNELS		_	_	, D
	TACOHA				2	8	
05 M7	EAST R	UTHERFORD	UNIVERSAL OIL PRODUCTS				
09 CA	MANCHO	COMDOAN	AEROJETS			E	
UT AL	SHOEMI		ISTN AVENUE LANDFILL	_	_		
02 M1	ST. LUI	013	Challot Cooull Trucklers .	7			
01 10	MEM BEI	DI CHO	MEM BEDLONDS .			. E	
05 LA	BAHILT	200	ONIVERSAL OIL PRODUCTS APPOJETS 19TH AVENUE LANDFILL GPATIOT COUNTY LANDFILLS * BEN BEOFORDS * OLD INGERS * CHEM DYNES *	v	R	_	
	COLUMB	JM 7.A	CHEM DYNES SCROI SLOPP ROADS * LADREL PARS INC. S * QUIBOARD MARINE CORP. S *	. I	R.	E	
	MAUCATI	in	TARRET BARE THE A P	•		•	
	WAUKEG		OUTBOARD MARINE CORD & A			•	
00 00	BOR! DE	N 44	WADCHALL TANDETTIE 6			•	Ð
A1 MF	MINTHE	ne :	MAKSUMDO SANDESSES				•
AI UT	BDRL FM	CTOM	MARSHALL LANDFILLS • WINTHROP LANDFILLS • PINE STREET CRMALS • MEST VA ORDNANCES •		_		D
A1 WW	POINT	DI FACAMT	. Mesa ne usunemese e				•
06 MM	ALBROW	EROUE	BOOTH VALLEYS		-		D
					R		•
DA ND	COUTER	ASTERN	ARSENIC TRIOXIDE SITE # *		Ē		ı
A8 P4	DACTES	**************************************	DOD MACTES &		Ř	•	•
D3 VA	ROANOKI	COUNTY	MATTREMS 4		1		
07 1A	COUNCI	L BLUFFS	NATTHEMSS .				7
09 AS	AMERICA	AN SANDA	TAPUTINU PARMS& *				
09 AE	GLOBE		NT. VIEW MOBILE ROMES .				D
D4 KY	BROOKS		A. L. TAYLOR! *		2		
04 TH	MEMPHI	S	MORTE BOLLYWOOD DUMPS .				
64 MC	210 ME	LES OF ROADS	PCB 8P1LLS# *		R		
69 G0	GUAH		ORDOT LANDFILLS *		R		
04 MS	GULFPO	RT	MATHEMSS * AIDEX CORP.S * TAPUTIMU PARMSS * MT. VIEW MOBILE ROMES * MORTS BOLLTWOOD DUMPS * PCB SPILLSS * ORDOT LANDFILLS * PLASTIFAKS * BOSE PARK SLUDGE PITS *		R		:
08 07	SALT L	ARE CITY	BOSE PARK BLUDGE PITS .	V	_		*:
07 KS	ARKANS	AS CITY	ARKANSAS CITY DUMP! * PCB WARBHOUSE! *		R		
OF CH	NORTH	MARIANAS	PCB WAREHOUSE! "		R		

f: V - Voluntary or Megotisted Response, R - Federal and State Response, R - Federal and State Enforcement, D - Actions to be Determined.

^{# .} IFL/EEL. . . States' Designated Top Priority Sites.

Response Status 1

82 Federal Register / Vol. 47. No. 251 / Thursday. December 30, 1982	Ш	8
Federal Register / Vol. 47. No. 251 / Thursday. December 30, 198		ŗ
Register / Vol. 47. No. 251 / Thursday. December 30, 198		
Vol. 47. No. 251 / Thursday. December 30, 198		Register /
. No. 251 / Thursday. December 30, 198		Vol. 47
1 / Thursday. December 30, 198		. No. 25
. December 30, 198		1 / Thursday
r 30, 198		. Decembe
		r 30, 198

Proposed Rules

		Group 3	•		Y			Group 4
A Feglo		Assa Mara	Response			EPA B	rgion State City/County	Tite Rese
31.	te City/County	Site Mane	Kesponse		٠ ــــــ			
03 FY	DISTER BAY	BYOSSET LANDFILL			D		MARSHALL	VELSICOL ILLINOIS
04 AL	GREENVILLE	MOWBRAY ENGINEERING			P		ST. LOUIS	VELSICOL MICHIGAM
05 MI	BRIGHTEN	BPIEGELBURG LANDFILL		_	Ð		MANCELONA	TAR LAKE
O4 PL	MIAMI	MIAMI DRUMP .					ALBANY	TELEDYNE WAS CHANG
02 HJ	DOVER TOWNSHIP	REICH FARMS .		B			SOUTH CAIRO	AMERICAN - TREPHOSTAT
02 HJ	SOUTH BRUNSWICK	SOUTH BROWSWICK LANDFILL	V		_		DARTHOUTE	RE-SOLVE!
OI PL	TAMPA	EASSAUP-RIMERLING!			D		PLUNSTRAD TOWNSHIP	GOOSE PARMS
OS IL	NAUCONDA .	WAUCORDA SAND & GRAVEL!	•	E			TOONE	VELSICOL CREMICAL CO
05 HI	MUSKEGON	OTT/STORY/CORDOVAS			D		MOTIN	YORK DIL COMPANYS
	KINGSION	OTTATE & GOSSI		R E			COTTOPDALE	BAPP BATTERY!
	SALTVILLE	BALTVILLE WASTE DISPOSAL			Ð		HOLIDAY	DOUPER DISPOSAL, ROLIDAY
	RINGWOOD	RINGMOOD MINES/LANDFILL			D		6MITHP LELD	DAVIS LIQUIDA
	NIAGARA PALLS	HOOFER - S AFEA		, E			TINGSBORO	CHARLES-GEORGES
	WHITEHOUSE	WRITEROUSE OIL PITS!		R		03 MJ	WINSLOW TOWNSHIP	KING OF PRUSSIA
	DEERF IELD	SUMMIT MATIONALS	₩			0) VA	TORK COUNTY	CHISMAND
	NIAGAPA PALLS	LOVE CANALS		RE		05 08	SALEM	NEASE CHEMICAL
	RINGS PORY	PISHER CALO	4	· ·		02 NJ	ELIZABETH	CHEMICAL CONTROLS .
	PLEASANT PLAINS TWP	MASH KING LAUNDRY	-	•	ь	05 ON	IPONTON	ALLIED CHEMICAL
	WARRINGTON	PIOMEER SANDS		R	•		PENNYIELD TOWNSHIP	VERCHA WELL PIELD!
		REEVER SE GALVANIÈING!		•	a		BEACON FALLS	DEACON HEIGHTS
	TAMFA .		•		5		MALVERN	MALVERN TOE SITE
-	DAVISPURG	SFRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP DUMP					ELMIRA MEIGHTS	PACET ENTERPRISES
	FILER CITY	PACKAGING CORP. OF AMERICA			•		NEW CASTLE	DELAWARE SAND & GRAVELS
	PUFFALO	HPAUICA!		•			IDAHO SPRINGS	CENTRAL CITY, CLEAR CREEKS
••	LEADVILLE	CALIFORNIA GOLCH			ž		PALMERTON	PALMERTON SINC PILE
	CHAPLOTTE	MARTIN MARIETTA, SODYECO			2		BOONE COUNTY	BNVIROCHEM
	SELLHOOD	SELLWOOD GROUNDWATER CONTAME			ž			
OS OR	CIRCLEVILLE	BONERS LANDFILL	•	_			LAWRENCEBURG	MURRAY ONIO DUMP
05 OH	ASHTABULA	FIELDS BROOK!			•		WHITEHOUSE . :	COLEMAN EVANSA
D3 PA	HARRISON TOWNSRIP	LINDANE DUMF!	'	E			INDIANTOWN	PLORIDA STEEL
04 FL	SEFFHER	TAYLOR BOAD LAMDFILLS	•				GOODYEAR	LITCHFIELD AIRPORT AREA
01 PI	BURRILLVILLE	WESTERN BAND & GRAVEL		R E	_		PLUMSTEAD	SPENCE PARMS
02 MJ	AT BLIBBOOR & GOCHELE PR	RETTE JASINGHO DCONYAM			D		DOVER TOWNSHIP	TOMS RIVER CHEMICAL
06 OK	CRINER	CPINER/RARDAGE®			_		LIVE OAR	BROWN WOOD
05 MN	ST. LOUIS PARK	NATIONAL LEAD TARACORPS			D		PORT MASKINGTON	PORT WASHINGTON LANDFILL
05 HI	POSE TOWNSHIP	ROSE TOWNSHIP DUMPS			D	06 AA		MID-BOUTHS
05 MN	AN'JEA COUNTY	WASTE DISPOSAL ENGINEERING!			P		CHRSTEP	COMME SILL BOOTH PUNDLIFF
02 NJ	ED150N	KIN-BUC LANDFILLS	, A	A E			BOUTH BRUNSWICK TWP	JIS LANDFILL
05 HH	LENILILIER/MANKATO	LENILLIER®	•	•	Đ		COMMERCE CITY	WOODBORY CHEMICALS
	GRAND PAPIDS	BUTTERWORTH #2 LANDFILL			D		WESTBOROUGE . ,	MOCOMOCO POND
	BOUND BROOK	AMERICAN CYANAMID					BAMAPO	RAMAPO LANDFILL
	SCOIN GLENS PALLS	GE HOPEAU BITE					Y FRICH .	MCGRAW EDISON
02 HJ	PEDPICATOWN	W.L. INDUSTRIES		E			ALBANY	MERCURY REFINING
01 81	NOPIH SHITHFIELD	L & RR - M SMITHFIELD		E			FORT LAUDERDALE	WOLLINGS WORTH!
04 PL	BIALEAN	WW SOTH STREETS					ROCYAWAY TOWNSHIP	ROCHAWAY TOWNSRIP WELLS
	TAMEA	62ND STREET DUMP			D		OLEAN	OLBAN WELLPIELDS
05 MI		GAH LANDFILL)					MIAPI	VARSOL SPILLS
05 HJ	PPANELIN TOWNSHIP	HETALTEC/AEPOSTSTEMS			}		BATAVIA	BATAVIA LANDFILLS
D2 MJ	PEMBERTON TOWNSHIP	LANG PROPERTY			D		DEIAH	COAST WOOD PRESERVING
03 HJ	PARSIFPANY, TROY ALS	SHARRET LANDFILL			D		DEHVER	DENVER RADIUM SITES
06 LA	BORENTO	CLEVE REBER			D	98 MT	MILLTOWN	MILLITONIE .
							•	1

^{1:} Y . Voluntary or Pegotiated Response, R . Federal and State Response, E . Federal and State Enforcement, D . Actions to be Determined.

Group 3

^{# -} IPL/EEL. # - States' Designated Top Priority Sites.

is Y * Voluntary or Degotisted Response, R * Federal and State Response, E * Federal and State Enforcement, D * Actions to be Determined. \$ - IPL/ELL. * * States* Designated Top Priority Sites.

EPA B	egion State	City/County	Site Hame	Respon	a	Latus	1
37 80	VERON	:A	SYNTER PACILITY	7		-	_
	PLUMS		PLJAK FARMI				
		KEARNY	BYNCON RESINS!	١.			
	RICHH		LIQUID GOLD				Ε
	A PRESI		PURITY OIL SALES, INC.				ε
2 H.	J BOWEL	L TOWNSRIP	BOG CREEK PARM				
5 11	BLOOM	INGTON	HEAL'S LANDFILL!				t
1 10	LOWEL	L	SILRESIM!			E	
1 1	H LONDO	NDEPRY	TINKHAM SITS				1
2 11.	J PISCA	YAWAT	CHEMSOL			8	
2 P.	J MAPLE	OPO TOWNSHIP	IMPERIAL OIL				
2 #.	JEAIR	LAWN	PAIR LAWN WELLFIELD	•			
5 11	R ELFHA	RT	MAIN STREET WELL PIELD	:			C
2 H.	J MT. O	LIVE TOWNSHIP	COMBE FILL HORTH LANDFILL				
2 PI	A JUANA	DIAZ	GE WIRING DEVICES				
2 NJ	J MOÉRO	E TOWNSHIP	MONROE TOWNSHIP LANDFILL				
		WAY BORG	BOCKAMAY BOPO WELLFIELD				, 1
5 18	COLUM	BIA CITT	. WAYNE WASTE DIL				Ė
6 M>	I FILAN	13	BOMESTARE#		,		(
2 MJ	BERKL	EY	BEACHMOOD/BERKLEY WELLS				i
2 83	DOVER		DOVER MUNICIPAL WELL 4				
2 19 1	VESTA	L	VESTAL WATER BOPPLY			R	
0 10	TACON	A	COM. BAY, MEAR SHORE TIDE PLATE				
	PEMBR		CROSS BROS/PEMBROKE				Ī
	CALDH		FLYNN LUMBER CO.				1
	NEST .		RELEVA LANDFILL			t	
	A SEATT		MARBOR ISLAND LEAD .				-
	. FOLLE		MCCOLL	٧			
	A PEAC		TALBER MEAD	. ♥	•		
	R BIO A	BAJO	PRONTERA CREEK				
	A FRESH		SELMA PRESSURE TREATING				ì
		DA APUERA	BARCELONETA LANDFILL				i
	D RLETO		SAND, GRAVEL AND STONE				
5 M	I MYOMI	NG	SPARTAN CHEMICAL COMPANY				- 1
2 H.	PLOPE	NC E	SOUBLING STREE CO				1
5 M	I GPEIL	ICRVILLE	GRAND TRAVERSE OVERALL SUPPLY CO				
2 M.	J VINEL	AND	VINELAND STATE SCHOOL				1
3 P	A PHILA	DELPRIA	ENTERPRISE AVENUE				1
7 H	BERIM	GF1BLD	FULBRIGHT LANOFILLS .				- (
4 80	CAICE		SCHOL DINIANAS				(
2 #3	3 SWAIN	TON	WILLIAMS PROPERTY		R		
2 W.	J 2 0183	H	PENDRA				
4 71	L PERSA	COLA	AMERICAN CREOSOTES			E	
	I IRONI	-	B.H. SCWILLING LANDFILL				- (
	J-BAYVE		DEMZER & BCHAFER X-RAY			E	•
	CIBBS	TOM :	MERCULES				- 1
	GARY		HINTH AVE. DOMP	٧		E	
	L OT. L		GRATIOT CO GOLF COURSE	. 🔻		E	
	I CUMRE		PC TERSON/PURITAN				1
11 M	A GROVE	LAND	GROVELAND WELLS				

^{1.} Y - Voluntery at Negotiated Response, B - Federal and State Response, B - Federal and State Enforcement, D - Actions to be Determined.

	Region State	City/County	Site Mane	Response S	Latus	. 1
10 W	A SPOKA	NE	COLBERT LAMPFILL	R		
	# SCOTE	SDALE	. INDIAM PEND WASE AREA			D
	2 FINCH	IA W	BINGHAN AIRPT INDUSTRIAL AREA			D
	Y MHEAT		HIAGARA COUNTY REFUSE!			0
	L DELAN		SHERMOOD MEDICAL			D
5 M	I PARK	TOWNSHIP	SOUTHWEST OFFANA LANDFILL			. 0
2 1	T MORSE	READS	MENTUCKY AVE. WELLPIELDS			D
1 #	E WASHE	URN	PINETTE'S SALVAGE TARD			D
3 M	J MILLE	NGTON	ASBESTOS DUMP			D
	T LOUIS	VILLE	. LEE'S LANE LANDFILLS			D
3 P.	A STATE	COLLEGE	CENTER COUNTY REPORE			
5 0	# BYESV	ILLE	FOLTS LANDFILL			Ð
6 A	R WALNU	T RIDGE	PRITT INDUSTRIESS			D
5 0	M COSHO	CTON	COSHOCTON CITY LAMOFILL			D
) P	A GIRAR	D TOWNSHIP	LORD SHOPE!			_
5 1	L WAURE	GAN	JOHNS-MANVILLE		-	D
	A PALME		PSC RESOURCES	A		_
	I OTIEV		POREST WASTE PRODUCTS .	, ,		D
	L CLERH				E	_
	A LOCK		DRARE CHEMICAL INC.	R	•	
	D ANNAP		MIDDLETOWN BOAD DUMP	-	E	
	E NEW C		TRIS SPILL SITE		•	D
	A HAVER			•		٦
	W GAPT	TORU .	BAVERTOWN PCP SITE		-	
			LAKE SANDY JO			D
		RAPIDO	CHEM CENTRAL		_	D
		EWATER	CANNON ENGINEEPING			_
		PANCE	MOVACO INDUSTRIES			D
		BORREL	BAYOU BORRELS		٠	D
		ON TOWNSHIP	JACFECH TOWNSHIP LANDFILL	•		
	I KALAP		E & L AVE LANDFILL			D
	R EDMON		GURLRY PIT			D
	1 WHITE		WHITEHALL WELLS			D
	I IONIA		IONIA CITY LANDFILL			D
			MONIGONERY HOUSING DEV			D
	J ROCKY		BOCKA MITT MAMICIANT METT			D
	T BREWS		BRENSTER MELL FIELD			D
	J ORANG		DS RADIUM			D
	T LIBBI		LIBBY GROUND WATER		_	0
	A JEFFE		RESIN DISPOSAL	_	ĸ	
	I MIGHE		HIGHLANDS ACID FITE	R	•	_
	Y NEWPO		MEMPORT DUMP			. 0
			HOTERS LANDFILL	_		D
	Y WEST		DISTLER BRICKYARD		_	
	T SOUTH	IARGIUR	SOLVENIS PECOVERY SYSTEM		E	_
			PRESQUE 181E			0
	J SAYRE		SAYREVILLE LANDFILL			
		ACE CITY	OAND CPEER		_	D
	Y LARAP		BAXTER/UNION PACIFIC		E	_
i n			DOVER LANDFILL			D
D6 A	P /T. 6	MT J T IT	INDUSTRIAL WASTE CONTROL			D

It V . Voluntary or Regotlated Response, R . Federal and State Response, B . Federal and State Enforcement, B . Actions to be Determined. . LPL/SEL. . . States' Designated Top Priority Sices.

^{# -} IPL/EEL. . . States' Designated Top Priority Sites.

ŧ	
Federal Register	
/ Vol. 47. No. 251 / Thursday	
/ Thursday.	
. December 30. 1982 /	
1982 / Pi	
/ Proposed Rul	

		Group F				
EPA Regi	ete City/County	Site Mame	Respo	100 St	stus	t
02 MT C	LATVILLE	LUDLON SAND & GRAVEL				0
07 HO I	MPERIAL	APENA 2: FILLS 1 & 2				D
06 LA B	LIDELL	BAYOU BOMPOUCA				D
03 WV L	EETOWN	LECTOWN PESTICIDE PILE '				D
01 CT C	ANTERPURY .	INGREME				
05 OF D	ODGEAIFER	HEM LYME LANDFILL				D
02 MJ O	LD BPIDGE	EVOR PHILLIPS				D
03 PA C	HESTER	WADE (ABH)		R	E	
03 PA 0	LD TOPGE	LACKAWANNA REPUSE				D
OZ NJ G	ALLOWAY TOWNSRIP	MANNIETH AVENUE DUMP				0
OZ NY P	ULTON	FULTON TERMINALS				D
05 HI H	USREGON	SCA INDEPENDENT LANDFILL				D
OI NH L	ONDONDERRY .	AUBURN RD LANDFILL			t	
O3 WV N	1TRO	FIRE CHEHICAL	•			
IO WA K	ENT	WESTERN PROCESSING!			R	
OS MI P	ETOSKEY	PETOSKEY MUNICIPAL WELLS			_	D
	OCK CPEEK	ROCK CREEK/JACK WEBB				_
	EFFERSON '	POPLAR OILS		Ä	E	
07 RS W.		JOHN'S SLUDGE POND			_	D
		SHOPE OIL AND CHEMICAL!				Ď
	ENTWOOD	KENTHOOD LANDFILL				D
DS MN A		SOUTH ANDOVER SITES				Ď
06 AR N		CECIL LINDSEY				b
DS IN M		MATICH (BRAGG) DUMP				Ď
05 OH R		PRISTINE				Ď
	ALVERT CITY	AIRCO				Ď
	T. CLAIRSVILLE	BUCKEYE RECLAMATION				Ď
	RAND PRAIRIE	#12-ECOLOGY		R		•
	OUNT PLEASANT	PARRAMORE SURPLUS		-		b
	PRINCE LEND	OLD SPRINGFIELD LANDFILL	•			Ď
	INCKLAEN	SOLVENT SAVERS				Ď
	INEY PIVER	US TITANIUM		:	E	٠
	ALESBURG	GALESBURG/KOPPERS			•	D
	INGSVILLE	BIG D CAMPGROUNDS				Ď
	IJAGARA PAL LS	HOOKER - HYDE PARK	v			
	IAPOUETER '	CLIFF/DOM DOMP	•		-	b
	INFUCE I EN	DUELL & GARDNER LANDFILL	100			D
OZ NJ E		CLLIS PROPERTY	•			Ď
	EFFERSON COUNTY	DISTLER FARMS	• '			Ď
	LOVERDALE	HCM BRAKES				Ď
		MASON COUNTY LANDFILL				Б
	LUDINGTOR ROSE TUNNSHIP	CEMETARY DUMP SITE				Ď
	OBE TOWNSHIP	POPESTOALE				6
OS TE H		HARRIS (FARLEY ST)		R		9
	EVEN. VALLEYS	OLD CITY OF YORK LANDFILL		_	E	
	GLE COUNTY	BYRON SALVAGE YARD			ž	
	ING OF PRUSSIA	STANLEY FESSLER			E	
	RECHOLD TOWNSHIP	PRIEDMAN PROPERTY		R	-	
	RANKLIN TOWNSHIP	MYERS FROPERTY		_		D
02 M1 B	CONTON	PEPE FIELD	. ,			Ď

. . .

BPA Begion	4.00	•	
	County Site Name	Response Status	1
OS HE BOUTH OFFIN			
03 MI MILES	U.B. AVIEX		D
04 MH CLOVIS	ATSF/CLOVISS		D
IO WA YREIMA	PESTICIDE PIT, T	ikima .	-
4 TH LEWISBURG			D
1 ME BACO	SACO TANNING	_	v
) PA PRILADELPHI			
6 AR MARION	CRITTENDEN CO. L		D
S HI GRANDVILLE	ORGANIC CHEMICAL	, ·	
O OR PORTLAND	GOULD, IMC.		P
2 PR JUNCOS	JUNCOS LANDFILL		D
4 PL NORTH PLONS	DW MANAGES		D
S ME CLARE	CLARE WATER SUPP		D
)2 NJ ASBURY PARI		ill	P
O NA YAKIMA	FMC TARIMA		D
S MI ODEN	LITTLEFIELD TOWN	BRIP DUMP	D
S MI KALAMAZOO	, AUTO ION		D
)4 SC FOPT LAWN		, a g	_
S MI SPARTA	FPARTA LANDFILL	•	D
S IL WINNEBAGO			D
5 MI CHAPLEVOIK	CHARLEVOIT MUNIC	IPAL WELL	. D
) WV FOLLANSBEE	LATERNOOFE PERIOR	2 Pill	D
1 ME AUGUSTA	O'CONNOR BITE		D
)) PA WESTLINE	WESTLINE		D
S MI BRIGHTON	RASHUSBEN'S DUMP		D
5 MI OSCODA	MEDALOM INDUSTRI	E8	Þ
2 PR BARCELONET			D
3 IN LEBANON	MEDZEB INC		D
04 KY CALVERT CIT		•	D
)) PA STROUDSBURG		R E	
5 MI ADRIAN	ANDERSON DEVELOP		D
5 HI LIVINGSTON	COUNTY SHIAMASSEE RIVER		•
S IL LA SALLE	LASALLE ELECTRIC	OTILITIES E	
4 TH GALLOWAY	GALLOWAY PONDS		Þ
03 DE KIUKMGOD	. HARVEY ENOTE DRU		_
DE DOVER	WILDCAT LANDFILL		b
D) PA WEST CISESTI	er twp blosenski landfi		_
O) DE DELAWARE C			D
3 ND COMBERLAND			
DZ NY NIAGARA FA	lls Mooker - 102ND 9		_
0) DE NEW CASTLE			D
06 MH CHURCHROCK	UNITED NUCLEAR C	ORP.	D
09 CA BOOPA	CELTOR CHEMICAL		D
04 AL PERDIDO	PERDIDO GROWATER	CONTABLINATION	0
02 MY COLD SPRING			0
03 PA OLD FORGE	LEHIGH ELECTRIC		_
DA TH CHATTANOOG	A AMNICOLA DOMP		0
05 OH WEST CHEST	SB BRIMBER PUMPLIFF		D
07 NO HOSCOM MIL			D
04 MC EWANHANOA	CHEMIRONICS, INC		D

It V - Voluntary or Regotisted Response, A - Federal and State Response, E - Federal and State Enforcement, D - Actions to be Determined. S - IPL/EEL. • - States' Designated Top Priority Sites.

I: Y = Voluntery or Negotisted Response, R = Federal and State Response, E = Federal and State Inforcement, D = Actions to be Determined. 8 = IPL/EEL. P = States' Designated lop Priority Sites.

					G100p #			
	er.		eg t on	•				
			State	City/County	Site Heme	Response	Statue 1	
	07	ME	BEATA.	ic s	PHILLIPS CHEMICAL		D	
	05	MI	BUCHAI	MAN	ELECTROVÒICE	t	D	:
	0.3	PA	EIMBE	RTON	#IMBERTON		Ð	
	05	18	BLOOM	NGTON	LEMON LANG LANDFILL	•	, D	
	10	10	RATED	ROM	ARRON (DREKLER ENTERPRISES)		D	
1	01	PA	WARMI	RSTER	FISCHER & PORTER	٠,	E	
	10	MA	LAREN	000	LAREHOOD	•	D	
	05	OH	ZANES	VILLE	ZAMESVILLE WELL FIRLD .	•	D	
	09	CA	SACRA	MENTO	JIBBJON JUNKARD		D	
	02	WJ	SPART	A	A. O. POLYNER	R		
	07	IA	DES M	DINES	DICO	•	٥	
	06	TI	DRANG	E COUNTY	TRIANGLE CHEMICAL	R	E	
	02	91.5	JERSE	Y CITY .	PJP LANDFILL		D	
	05	OH			VAN DALE JUNKYARD .	•	. 0	
	01	P			CRAIG FARM DRUM SITE		. 0	
	01	PA	DPPER	SAUCON THP	VOORTHAN		D	
	0.5	2.0			BELVIDERE		D	
	õŝ	11		COUNTY	PARROT ROAD		· D	
	•••	•			· •		1	

It V = Voluntary or Negatisted Response, B * Federal and State Response, E * Federal and State Enforcement, D * Actions to be Determined. # = IPL/EEL. * = States' Designated Top Priority Sites.

(FR Doc. 42-35378 Filed 12-29-82,8 45 em) BILLING CODE 8560-50-C